G. R. S. IX. 11

Previous reference.

1887 Pt

192 .

File No. 71-82.

Serial Nos.

Later reference.

(K.)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

71 Part B for February 1887

Appeal

Petition of Sangaya dasa for his restoration to the Patel office of Sajahanalli Chikanayakanapalya Taluk.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,

MD. 13304

D.J. 254-92

B. & F. 2. 1893

Directing that the request of the petitioner for the performance of the duties of Patel jointly with that person is inadmissible when the office became hereditary [illegible] Government

Countersigned

D.D.

1.

22

Appeal No. 1749 of 8th
November 1889.

Appellant
Hanné Gowda of Savatnahalli
Chennarayapatna Taluk
Hassan District

Appeal against the order
of the Dy Comr. dated 24th
May 1889 removing Petitioner
from the office of Potail
on the ground that he
did not reside in the
village.

The ~~P~~points at issue were whether
Petitioner resided in the
village as he ~~was~~ had been ordered
to do so long ago as 1884, &
whether he ~~will~~ would ~~not~~ build
a house & reside in it perma-
nently.

93

Decided that ~~Petitioner be refused~~ that Petitioner be restored to the office on his building a house at Savatwahalle & removing into it as promised provided he does so before the end of May 1890.

Comrs. to the Dy Comrs Hassan &c
— No 9212 — 361 dy 21st February/90

Mr No 1749
dated 8th June 1889

Petr
Harve gowda
Savatna halli
of ~~Sagatwalli~~
Cheroyapatna Taluq
Hassan Dt.

Petitioner complains against the order of the Dy Comr dated 24th May 1889 removing him from the office of Patail on the ground that he did not reside in the village.

Petitioner admitted before the Amildar that he did not reside in the village but pleaded that he was ordered to remain so long ago as 1884, but promised to do so at any early date. X Petitioner ~~further~~ states that he is residing in the village & produces a

He now lives at Kodehalli which is a hamlet of Savatnahalli at a distance of half a mile.

X He said he was living at Kodehalli which is half a mile from the village in which he holds the office.

As
that the village in which
Petitioner actually lives
is a hamlet of the
village of which he is
the Patail & [illegible]
at a short distance
& as he Petitioner promises
to build a house in
the village & live in
it in future permanently
the Govt [illegible] to
are pleased to direct
that he be restored to
the office on [illegible] his
building a house at
Dappalli & removing
into it as promised
[illegible] provided
he does so before the
end of [illegible] 1890.

14/12/90

If Mesh me she
give them a little
longer time than
2 months as it
is not possible to
build a house within
that time. — dll

Request

The Petitioner is informed
that H Dy Commr has been
requested to restore him to the office
on his building a house at [illegible] Devalnahalli & making it his
provided he does so before permanent abode
the end of May 1890. — dll

to that effect.—

It is impossible to ascertain Petitioner's witnesses here.— The papers will therefore be forwarded to the Dy Comr with the request that he will examine the Petitioner & his witnesses on his presence & to report whether he is actually living in the village or not.— The Govt are of opinion that if Petitioner now comes to this village & ~~becomes~~ takes up his abode permanently, the Dy Comr may restore him to ~~his~~ office ~~of Patwari~~ with a warning:—

12/2/190

14/2

2.— At the hearing the Petitioner gave a satisfactory reply that he will build a house in the village within 2 months & reside in it permanently.—

Considering the fact

Sarwat Ali — Mohammad Yusuf
Hameed
Fil Ali
Abdullah — Ghulam —
Hulgeri

village & build a house in 2 months & reside in Sarwala permanently

Ali

LR 71-89-1

Rec'd. 11.89

No. 1749

(1)

8.
No. 401

(2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

LR 71-89-2.

The accompanying Petition No. 1749 dated 8th Novr 1889 received at the Dewan's Office from Haravanyanda Patel of Sagattavalli in the Chennapatana Taluk

is forwarded, together with its nine enclosures, to the Dy Comr Hassan District for submission the Proceedings and a short report.

From

Reply

By Order,

T. Anandarao

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 16th Novr 1889.

No 497
Leery

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District

Nos V. Pos: &c. Dated Camp: Arsikere 23rd December 1889.

(3)

From

P. N. Purnaiya Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To,

The Chief Secretary to
the Government of
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No. 401 dated 16th Ultimo forwarding for report Petition No. 1749 dated 8th Idem from Patel Araveeyooda of Sagalavalli village, Chennagapatna Taluk, I have the honor to report - that the Patel in question does not reside in and is always absent from his village work and if I remember rightly, he was not to be seen in the village Even when I visited - Thereby the important Tank work and all other works have been neglected. The Patel on several —

occasions has been warned to preside
in the village and his promises
have proved false time & time again
and consequently on the recom-
mendations of the Amildar
one Boragowdah another Hakdar
has been nominated as he is
reported to be a bona fide presid-
-ent of the Village and a man hard
working and popular and influential
with the ryots.

The Proceedings ending
with Amildar's report No. 266
dated 8th inst and the Enclosures
of the Memo are herewith sent
for further needful reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obt Servt

H. Pierce

Dept Commissioner
Hassan District

ED. 12-

(General Serial No. 31.)

1880.

From

To The D.C. Hafpan Dt.

L8271-89-H

Dated 21

Despatched 26 February.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 9212

No. 361

Forwd. copy of decision in Appeal No. 1749 of 8th Novr. 18[illegible]

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore

(6)

Appeal No. 1/49 of 8th November/89

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Varré Gowda of Savitrakalle
Channarayapatna Taluk
Hassan District.

Decision. 14th Feb:/90.

Petitioner complains against the order of the Dy: Commr, dated 24th May 1889, removing him from the office of Patel on the ground, that he did not reside in the village.

Petitioner admitted before the Amildar that he did not reside in the village, notwithstanding that he was ordered to live in it so long ago as 1884, but promised to do so at an early date.

2. At the hearing the Petitioner gave a Muchalika to the effect that he will build a house in the village within 2 months and reside in it permanently. As the village in which Petitioner actually lives is a hamlet of the village of which he is the Patel and is only at a short distance, and as he promises to build a house in the village and

and live in it in future permanently, the Govt are pleased to direct that he be restored to the office on his building a house at Savantvadi and removing into it as promised, provided he does so before the end of May 1890.

ed 31/12/89 Draft

By order

AR

Under Secretary

To The Dy: Commr: Kaspar Dt, with ver: papers.

No 9212/361 9/21-2-90/26

M & VP.

15.

SR 71-89-S

No. 1749

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

20th February 1890.
26th — "

(5)

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Harve Gowda of Savatnahalli,
Channarayapatna Taluk,
Hassan Dist

Endorsement.

In reply to his appeal Petition, dated 8th November 1889

Petitioner is informed that the Dy: Comm^r has been requested to restore him to the office on his building a house at Savatnahalli and making it his permanent abode, provided he does so before the end of May 1890.

is informed

The enclosures are returned.

By order

Draft

A C^r

Under Secretary

16

LR 71-89-6 (4)

13/2/90

13/2/90.

12

(8)

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 167.

Name of Petitioner. Bodegowda

Village. Dandigamahully

Taluk. Chennarayapatna

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 14th July

Date of Receipt. 18th July

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 2

ABSTRACT.

The Petitioner appeals against the Commr's order no 1077/1914 dated April 9 refusing to appoint him to his hereditary office of Potel, and he prays that he may be allowed to do duty jointly with the present Potel Harvegowda.

This is virtually a petition for a review of the decision dated 21st Feby 1890, No: 9212/361.

The Petitioner contends that the decision does not contemplate that he (Samyadevan & Hankodan) should be debarred from holding the office jointly with Harvegowda.

Refer petn & Submitted to the Council for orders

31/10/92

Call for a Report on the claims.

[illegible]
15/10/92

17/10/92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 167
DATE 18-7-92

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/ಟೆಂಡರ್/2025-26/ಸಂ.ಕಾ.ಇ.ವಿ.ಯೋಜನೆ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ-2/ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸೂಚನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ-1

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ನೋಂದಣಿ (ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಣೆ) ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1960 ರಡಿ ನೋಂದಾಯಿತ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಂದ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು: ಸಂಕಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲೇಜು ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರಿಂಗ್ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಯೋಜನೆ – ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ-2

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಸ್ಥಳ: ಸಂಕಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲೇಜು ಆವರಣ, ಸಂಕಲ್ಪಪುರ

ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ: ₹ 1,25,000/-

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಭದ್ರತಾ ಠೇವಣಿ: ₹ 2,500/-

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಪ್ರತಿ ಶುಲ್ಕ: ₹ 500/- (ಮರುಪಾವತಿಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ)

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಪ್ರತಿ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 12-08-2025

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮತ್ತು ಸಮಯ: 13-08-2025, ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 12:00 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ತೆರೆಯುವ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮತ್ತು ಸಮಯ: 13-08-2025, ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 12:30 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ

ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು:

1. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ದಾರರು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ನೋಂದಣಿ (ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಣೆ) ಕಾಯ್ದೆ, 1960 ರಡಿ ನೋಂದಾಯಿತ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಾಗಿರಬೇಕು.

2. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ದಾರರು ಕಳೆದ 3 ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ₹ 1,00,000/- ಮೌಲ್ಯದ ಒಂದು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಿರಬೇಕು.

3. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ದಾರರು ಜಿಎಸ್‌ಟಿ ನೋಂದಣಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ಯಾನ್ ಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.

4. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಭದ್ರತಾ ಠೇವಣಿಯನ್ನು ಡಿಡಿ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

5. ಅಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಥವಾ ತಡವಾಗಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

6. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು 30 ದಿನಗಳ ಒಳಗೆ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

7. ಯಾವುದೇ ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಅನ್ನು ಕಾರಣ ನೀಡದೆ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸುವ ಹಕ್ಕು ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್‌ಗೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

8. ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ದಾರರು ಸ್ಥಳ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ವತಃ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

9. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟ ಕಳಪೆಯಾದರೆ ಠೇವಣಿ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

10. ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಕಚೇರಿ ವೇಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಬಹುದು.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್
ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್, ಸಂಕಲ್ಪಪುರ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

Pet
472

(9)

YSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

LR 71-89-8

From the Deputy Commr Ls 6839 28/12/92

The accompanying Petition No. 16/

dated 14th July/92 received at the

Dewan's Office from Bore Gowda, Pa~~t~~ of ~~Dambagamahally~~ Sagatavalli, Chenna~~r~~ Patna Taluk, appealing ag~~t~~ the Deputy Commr's order refusing to appoint him ~~to be~~ Patel of the said village

is forwarded, together with its two ✓

enclosures, to the Deputy Commr Hassan Dist for a report on Petitioner's claims.

2 The Petn should be return~~ed~~

By Order,

Draft.
(Council order)

BANGALORE,
Decbr 1892

for Chief Secretary.

S.V.

LR 71-89-9

(General Serial No. 69)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
6915
DEC. 92
PeA 322

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 28th
Despatched 29th } December 1892
Received

Enclosures No. Petn & file of Papers sent in parcel.

General No. 683
No.

In reply to No: 472 Dated 25th Oct 1892

SUBJECT.

Submitting report on the petition of Boregowda Patel of Safalavalli village Channarayapatna Taluk regarding the office of the Patel of the said village &c.

Petition Search 1893/12

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Last order passed on Petn No 67 - "Call for a report on Petn's claims" -

Report received from the Dy Com & submitted Petn No 167 - which is virtually a review petn - & pending disposal.

In 1885 the Patel for the village was considered sufficient. Karve gowda was doing the work. He was removed in 1889 because he did not reside in the village & the appellant was appointed Patel. But on appeal to Govt by Karve gowda he was ordered to be restored.

Appellant prays that he may be allowed to do work jointly with Karvegowda.

Submitted to the Council

11/92

4. The Vernacular Proceedings ending with Chemaongapatna Amildar's report No $\frac{717}{725}$ Dated 8th December 1892 together with the appeal petition being the enclosure of your memo under ref 3. are herewith submitted. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
M Purcaig
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

(10)

No. 683 en Petns & Decy & V.P. file

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District

Dated Hassan 28th December 1892.

From,

P. N. Purnaya Esqre.
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memo No. 472 of the 25th October 1892 forwarding for report a petition from Boregowda - Patel of Salgatanalli in the Channa-rayapatna Taluk, appealing against the order of this office refusing to appoint him Patel of the said village, I have the honor to state that it would appear from Barabalithi Register (

Hariéfowda, the Respondent and Lullfowda, the father of the ap. pellant, were the hukdar Patéls of the villafe. In October 1884, the appellant put forth his claim for the office on the ground of his deceased father being the hukdar and who performed the duties jointly with the Respondent during his life time. Mr. Madhava Row, the then Asst of the French Rocks Subdivision, directed the Mukdar in his order No: 935 of the 30th January 1885 that one Patél is sufficient for the villafe that the Respondent should Con. tinue to do the duties and that the name of the Appellant may be entered in the Register as

In the year 1889, the Mukb ted that Hariéfowda,

the

the Respondent, does not reside
in and is always absent from
the village and work; thereby the
important tank and other works
have been neglected and that he
was on several occasions ordered
to reside in the village but his
promises proved false and con-
sequently the Appellant Bore-
gowda may be nominated for
the office. The recommendation
was sanctioned in this office
order No: 232 Dated 24th May
1889. Against this order Hare-
gowda preferred an appeal to the
Government, who were pleased
to direct, in their decision dated
14th February 1890, Copy of which
received with Chief Secretary's No.
9212/361 Dated 21st Idem, that Har-
egowda be restored to the office
on his building a house in the
village

village and removing unto it before the end of May 1890 as promised by him.—

2— In March 1892, the appellant again presented a petition to this office praying that he may also be nominated as joint Patél for the village like his father. As the matter was once disposed of both by the officer in charge of the French Rocks Sub Division and by Government, there seemed no reason to interfere in the case and consequently Dr. Hajee Syed Naseeroodeen, the then officiating Deputy Commissioner, directed the Amildar in his order No: 1077— Dated April 1892 to give an endorsement to the Appellant to the above effect.

3. Against this order the appellant has preferred an appeal to Government.

(Draft for approval) 29.

No. 167- AR 71-89-10

No-0002
9/2/93
(11)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
9 February 1893.

Endorsement granted to Bore'gowda patel of Sagatavalli Chennarayapatna Taluk Hassan District in reply to his appeal Petition Registered No. 167 dated 14-7-92

Petitioner is informed that his request for the performance of the duties of Patel jointly with Hanve' gowda is inadmissible. When the office becomes vacant, his claim will be taken into consideration.

2 The enclosures are returned.

"By Order,"

for Chief Secretary.

(P. T. O)

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

Copy to

13304
Pet F. 254-92

6/2/93

9/2/93

30

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District
returning the vernacular papers received
his letter no. 683 dated 28th December 1892.

By order

6/2/93
for Chief Secretary

(draft)
Councillor's
order

K.C.N.